Dear Mary,

3/7/82

Thanks for the copy of your mailing to Ramsey and the note. You say that the Bronson analysis should be public any day now. Hopefully before the Ramsey panel reports its conclusions.

I've lost track of what I've sent down there because when I heard nothing from anyone I assumed there was no interest in the Bowles stuff. I think I sent the most recent, save for the enclosed, to Moch. I've also given it to Lesar for Blakey, who is represented by Fensterwald, for whom Lesar works a half day a day.

I made an effort, some time ago, to interest ABC in the Bronson film but was not able to. My friend wasn't up high enough to overcome the "What, that again?" reaction.

If you can, fill me in so I can respond to any inquiries I may get. For example, did the FBI ever accept a copy? Last I heard they were still declining.

Not much new here. In the FOIA case for the Dallas and New Orleans records the FBI, on its own, is attempting to justify the withholdings by sampling one record per hundred. I get an occasional few records still, the most recent referrals, but nothing of any real significance in them.

I just heard from the Criminal Division, from which I've gotten quite a few pages. This last letter says it covers the final records. What seemed to be of interest I've sent to Moch.

The government managed to get away without responding to any of the allegation in the long affidavit of which Earl has a copy. The judge is a rubber-stamp, as he has been. That case will now go up on appeal - again. I think some of the new information in it, what it surprises me Earl found no use for, might well buttress some of the possible Bronson conclusions. If Earl hadn't told me he would do a story I'd had made some efforts up here before it was too late.

Best to you all,